

<p style="text-align: center;">What do the police say</p> <p style="text-align: center;">victim: Ms Fxxxx</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">What do I say</p> <p style="text-align: center;">02-03-1985</p>
<p>Description:</p> <p>Tall man 20 years old fairly smooth hands with no noticeable calluses. Black heavy workman’s donkey jacket, fairly thin collar and lapel, did not have PVC reinforcing to the back or cuffs. Three letters red embroidered on just one of the lapels L-C-B don’t know what order, I now believe it was L.B.C. Both men north London cockney accent, bigger one general scruffy appearance stubble on face.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Small man general casual appearance. - Taller man 21 years old 5.9” tall short brown greasy hair, chubby faced earring in left ear with a sleeper, freckle midway on his jaw line, medium build blue eyes. <p>Smaller man 5.4” 19 years old short hair.</p> <p>Forensic:</p> <p>There are no forensic items in this case.</p> <p>Alleged Accomplice:</p> <p>We saw a victim coming out of the garage of Finchley Road, David grabbed her; Duffy said that he wanted to leave and made Dave leave her before he could do anything to her. We left the girl and ran away.</p>	<p>I was a plasterer at the time so my hands were nowhere near smooth and as my wife can confirm were quite the opposite.</p> <p>The police tried very hard to link me to this type of jacket but the best they managed was a very old small sized jacket belonging to another worker at the depot I worked at, even though they had to admit there was no way it would have fitted me and this jacket had LCC not LBC.</p> <p>As for the freckle I do not have one although the judge said incorrectly in his summing up that the description matched me down to the mole/freckle. A point previously proved that I do not have one. I also do not have blue eyes.</p> <p>This victim attended on ID parade and picked out the person she said had attacked her. I was on the ID parade and of course was not the person picked out.</p> <p>So again the entire case rests on the testimony of Duffy.</p> <p>The victim is quite clear that the attackers followed her for sometime <u>before</u> she entered the garage and then followed her before grabbing her, this is in total contradiction to the version given by Duffy, so did he really do this offence or just get the details from the files supplied by the police.</p>

No fingerprint, only evidence is Duffy.