

<p style="text-align: center;">What do the police say</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ms Lxxxx</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">What do I say</p> <p style="text-align: center;">18-05-1986</p>
<p>Description From Witnesses:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Mid 20's 5'6" tall, dark hair and beard. 2) 40 Years old 5'8" tall clean shaven ginger coloured hair. 3) 5'4" reddish hair, slightly built. I have no doubt it was Duffy. 4) 40 years old 5'8" tall, clean shaven, ginger hair. 5) Early 30's inch or 2 of 5'4", not thin stocky build fair hair. 6) 5'4" reddish hair. I have no doubt it was Duffy, I stayed talking to him on the station platform for 45 minutes on 27-04-1986 <p>Forensic: Vaginal Sex Committed Items were submitted both in 1986 and 1999 DB/7 hairs removed from Lxxxx's jumper was long enough to attempt DNA analysis..... However this single hair was microscopically different to the head hair sample from Mulcahy so was not tested further.</p> <p>AL/14, AL/15 and AL/59 no semen was found on any of these items.</p> <p>LCN profiling is being carried out on the finger work on JB/2 removed from Lxxxx's bicycle, further statement upon completion (1999).</p>	<p>All the witnesses reported only seeing one person at the scene, not two as Duffy claims. None of the descriptions obviously match me, but clearly match Duffy. 5'4" with ginger hair. Duffy was once again given access to all the statements, maps etc by police and then came up with the story of me hiding whilst these people were present whilst Duffy stood in the open.</p> <p>Question: Why would Duffy stand in the open whilst I hide? Why wouldn't Duffy hide like me? Could it be that Duffy was indeed on his own?</p> <p>Duffy said that he had never been to the area before and I told him about the area. Having said he had never been there before on his own Duffy could not explain one witness away, so chose to say that it wasn't him that she saw. Duffy also had a conversation with another witness face to face for a period in excess of 45 minutes. This witness said that Duffy was on his own, yet again I was not there, so Duffy said I was once again hiding. Question: If I was there (and I wasn't) how would I know where to hide and when?</p> <p>So again we have selective testing of forensic items. This hair should have been tested to establish who it belonged to and not excluded because it did not match me. No results were ever disclosed to my defence team. Second part of the file relating to fingerprint examination is now missing according to the E Harris report of 1999 so we do not know what that part said, do we and maybe never will?</p> <p>Again we have this rubbish double talk if nothing is found to link me then I should be excluded. Also why when they had head hair found on the</p>

No swaps were ever taken from the deceased's body and no examination was made of her clothes (in 1986)

No scientific evidence has been adduced in this case either to support or refute the proposition that Mr. Mulcahy had been involved in the murder of Ms Lxxxx, statement of E Harris forensic scientist 1999 / 2000.

Alleged Accomplice:

When I (Duffy) arrived at my flat David Mulcahy was outside waiting, he was in his car. We travelled to Brookmans Park. David had found this area previously. He had seen a girl carrying a white suitcase a couple of weeks previously and we thought that we would go look for her. On this occasion we saw the same girl and followed, but she got away.

We got to Brookmans Park at approximately 5:30pm to 6:00pm there was no-one in the ticket office it was closed. I suggested to David that we move the lady's bike in the bike shed, he did it. David had a yellow handled craft knife which he hid under a bridge when we saw a police car. The girl was marched down the line path to a small copse and I (Duffy) raped her. We went through her handbag at the rape site. David said to go and get the car and take your time, I went back to the car and waited.

After 15 minutes I drove down around the road and picked up Dave, we left the area. David said he had raped the victim and he implied she would be there a longtime, I presumed he had killed her.

victim did they not conduct DNA tests on it? I knew it would not match me and which they confirmed, but by not testing it they not only let the guilty person escape they proved what I always believed: They were only looking for evidence to implicate me and not find the true attacker.

You will have noticed that the handbag was burnt. It was done using (police version) lighter fluid, in a can found next to bag.

This fluid was on sale in the shop on Duffy's estate; Duffy said he knew nothing about it.

It is clearly stated by witnesses that I did not associate with Duffy so how would I know what time he was due home? Also it shows how little Duffy knew about my life at this period. He said we travelled in my Alfa or Chrysler car. I had in fact purchased a brand new Nissan Bluebird the first new car I had ever owned. So it was a big deal and very memorable to both me and those close to me.

The ticket inspector / station master claimed he did not leave the station until 8:00pm so the station would have been closed at 6:00pm.

A witness also reported that when they collected their bike from the bike shed Ms Lxxxxs bike was still there and this was much later. The victim was grabbed as she entered the ticket office lobby so removal of the bike makes no sense.

As for this yellow handled craft knife strangely enough one that Duffy said was mine and left on the ledge was found in his tool bag in his locker at work. **Even though it had Duffy's name on it Duffy denied it was his.** The contents of the handbag were found scattered over a large area some way from the alleged rape site and where the handbag was found (see Lxxx map) the site of the finds also is nowhere near the route Duffy claims to have taken. If she had noticed her bike missing and started to walk I don't know where she lived, but the place the items were found was off road away from the line path. She may have been abducted on this road: It makes more sense for the route of exhibit finds.

This victim's hands were bound before she was killed. This is something only found on murder victims and rapes Duffy committed on his own. No two man rapes ever had ever had hand tying involved, but Duffy's single man rapes and attacks on his wife did! I find that strange, don't you?

Also let's look at the map? Follow the line path from the station this is the route Duffy claims we took! So why when he claims to have come to collect me did he drive down the road when I surely would have fled down the line path again the way we came? It makes more sense if Duffy did take the route I suspect he did!

No fingerprint. No evidence apart from Duffy.