

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>What do the police say</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>victim: Ms Axxx</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>What do I say</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>24-10-1982</b></p>
<p><b>Description:</b> Victim stated the attackers wore Grey Hood Track Suits. Police said Mulcahy owned one at the time of the attack having bought it from <u>The Simon Lou Martial Arts Academy</u>.</p> <p><b>Forensic: Vaginal Sex Committed</b> Mulcahy’s blood type was a match to that of the attacker along with 31% of the British Population TESTED 1982.</p> <p>A Glow Test conducted on the blood sample put Mulcahy within the type group of the Sample Tested 1982.</p> <p>By 1997 These items had been destroyed by the police. So the Prosecution could not prove forensically that Mulcahy was involved.</p> <p>The victim retained a necklace handled by the attackers and was submitted in 1999 for L.C.N DNA testing. Four partial profiles were obtained. This does not implicate or exclude Mulcahy.</p> <p><b>Alleged Accomplice:</b> Duffy said Mulcahy was with him and this was a carefully Pre-Planned attack with the intent to kidnap and rape.</p>	<p>Yes I did in fact own a Grey hooded track suit. Purchased from the Martial Arts Academy, But given the fact that I <u><i>Did Not Join Until 1985</i></u>. I could not have had it in <u><i>October 1982</i></u>.</p> <p>So what this means is that there was in a group of almost 20,000,000 people who matched the sample.</p> <p>Strange, as in the Original Forensic Report Submitted in 1982 it stated <u><i>“No Glow Result Obtained.”</i></u> In court 18 years later J Allard - Forensic Expert said he renumbered this report and he had meant to say <u><i>“A Glow Result was obtained.”</i></u> So now I fitted in the required blood group.</p> <p>Against all Policies and Safeguards to Prevent destruction of Vital exhibits, these were destroyed thus I could not prove I was not involved.</p> <p>The necklace showed four profiles which had no match to me. Yet still they double talk, of course I can be “excluded.” I am sure if I matched I would be “implicated.”</p> <p>Duffy’s initial account of this attack was of a Spur of the moment attack he was tricked into. He only changed his account once his version did not stand up to scrutiny.</p>

*Obviously no conclusive evidence could be presented against me apart from the lying alleged co-defendant that I had any part in this terrible crime*