

<p style="text-align: center;">What do the police say</p> <p style="text-align: center;">victim: Ms Cxxxx</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">What do I say</p> <p style="text-align: center;">08-07-1984</p>
<p>Description: Small man 5'6" Tall Man Unknown. Small and Tall man both wiry build. Tall Man blond fairly long hair.</p> <p>Forensic: As this was an unsuccessful attempted rape no DNA was expected to be found. Tape recovered at the scene Exhibit ND/1 was submitted for Fingerprint Examination and DNA Testing. A fingerprint Match was found on the tape to Mulcahy, no Match was found for the DNA also found on the tape.</p>	<p>Duffy is 5'4" and I am 5'11", both myself and Duffy would have been described as normal build, not wiry. I have never had long hair, as pictures seized by police proved. I attended an ID Parade and came face to face with the victim and of course the victim did not pick me out as I was not the person who had attacked her.</p> <p>Tests for DNA were conducted on NM/3 and NM/4 Clothing of the Victim, no DNA was found.</p> <p>ND/1 tape said to have been recovered from the scene by Norman McNamara along with NM/3 and NM/4 although if you follow the logic of evidence recovery this version does not make sense. All exhibits take the first and last name of the finder (ie) NM/3 = Norman McNamara. So NM/3 and NM/4 could be exhibits found by Norman McNamara, but what about ND/1? This could not have been found by the same officer, or maybe NM/1 and NM/2 were later Swapped for ND/1 and ND/2.</p> <p>DC N McNamara Claimed he attended the scene the following day with the victim and found the tape ND/1 in the front drive.</p> <p>The victim stated that she Never Returned to the scene after the night of the attack and the home owner said an officer who attended only picked up items in the rear of the property. <u>This Doesn't Match Does It?</u></p> <p>Whilst it is true that this exhibit or at least one with the prefix ND/1 was submitted for fingerprint examination, it had previously sat in a desk drawer for over a year before being sent to the lab. No Match was found in the 1980's and so it was resubmitted in 1999 to be retested, <u>Despite Repeated Tests by Various Experts No Match Was Made To Me.</u></p> <p>Yet immediately after a visit from the officer in charge of this case the head of the fingerprint</p>

bureau did something he said he had never done in 25+ Years Service, he rechecked a Negative Result and now found a Positive Result to me and so did all the experts who had found a negative result before.

I leave you to draw your own conclusion from that!

As for the DNA No Match was found and as far as I know, no further tests were conducted. Strange that? How could there be DNA from Someone not involved in the case?

Alleged Accomplice:

Duffy said we were out in his Morris 1800. He was very clear about this. He also gave a full account of the route taken by him and Mulchay both prior and post the attack. He claimed that after the attack both ran straight down the hill with the victims hand bag searching it en-route to his Morris 1800 parked down the hill prior to following the victim up the hill.

It was Proved in Court that Duffy's Morris 1800 had been Stolen and Burnt out Many Months Prior to the Attack so could not have been in use at the time of the attack. Duffy then changed his very clear memory to one of the use of some unknown car.

As for his claim of the route taken after the attack with the handbag, the police found the handbag quite some way further up the hill from the attack and not down the hill as claimed by Duffy. So his account cannot have taken place.

Obviously no conclusive evidence could be presented except for the dubious fingerprint against me apart from the lying alleged co-defendant that I had any part in this terrible crime.